



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/668,186	09/24/2003	Yutaka Takafuji	1248-0673P	4125
2292 7590 06/04/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				
EXAMINER				
MENZ, LAURA MARY				
ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER		
2813				
NOTIFICATION DATE		DELIVERY MODE		
06/04/2008		ELECTRONIC		

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/668,186

**Applicant(s)**

TAKAFUJI ET AL.

**Examiner**

Laura M. Schillinger

**Art Unit**

2813

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Laura M. Schillinger.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Robert Downs.(4) ✓.

Date of Interview: 5/15/08-5/20/08.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant

2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 16.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yudasaka.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant called to because the Applicant wanted to know whether Yudasaka anticipated the claim language that layers 302 and 307 were separated vertically and horizontally- the Examiner explained that the layers were both separated vertically and horizontally. Applicant called again to say that the Applicant intended to amend the claim language to include that the nonsingle crystal layer was the first layer formed over the substrate .

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Laura M Schillinger/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2813

Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.